

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,672	EKLIND, EVERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tony G. Soohoo	1797	

All Participants:

(1) Tony G. Soohoo.

(2) Robert Barrett .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 1, 7, 9, 10-11,13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed to bring the provision of the structural language of claim 1 or 9 into the method claim 7. Claims 10, 11 and 13 will be corrected to depend upon the independent claim, and cancel claim 14. It was agreed to provide the manipulative step and provision of the magnetic arrangement of claim 9 to claim 7. /TGS/.